**Peer Review Checklist 2**

Carefully read your group member’s draft essay. Based on your reading, address the following questions through constructive comments and suggestions. When you comment, keep in mind what the author did well, as well as provide constructive comments on possible improvements. Your comments should be as specific as possible.Y

**Name of writer**: 骆春阳

**Comments by**:郑云帆

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Unity & coherence** | **Comments/Suggestions** |
| Does the essay display a logical  progression of ideas, with a clear structure that enhances the thesis? | Yes, the writer demonstrate his idea with three main different aspects, all the aspect have close relation with the rise of short video. |
| Is there a smooth and logical transition  between different parts of the essay? | No, but the writer use crossheading to conclude what he wants to explain in the following part. And every argument part has standalone conclusion. |
| 1. **Mechanics and style** |  |
| Is the writing smooth, skillful, and  coherent? | Yes, from the writing I can notice that the writer seldom uses subordinate clause. This makes my reading very smooth. |
| Is the diction consistent and words well  chosen? | Roughly yes, individual words are not so precise, leading to that the argument does not square with the fact. |
| Are the sentences strong and expressive  with varied structure? | Yes. |
| Does the essay use correct spelling and  capitalization? | Yes. |
| Are the punctuations used correctly?  (e.g., a space is put between a  punctuation mark and the word that  immediately follows it) | Yes, but some paragraphs do not have indent. |
| Is the essay free of grammatical errors? | I did not notice grammatical mistakes when I was reading. |
| 1. **Sources**   (Compare the format of the in-text citations and reference list with the guidelines given in class.) |  |
| Are the sources relevant, current, and  reliable? Describe how you identify the  reliability of the sources. | Yes, the sources he uses are from reliable data site and news site and scholarly journals, although the journals he quotes are not famous. |
| Are the sources smoothly and logically  integrated into the text? | Yes. |
| Are the sources accurately documented in  APA style? (i.e., do the in-text citations and reference list correspond to the correct APA format?) | NO, the writer add the first name’s abbreviation in in-text citations and the volume of journal is not italic. |
| 1. **Overall evaluation** |  |
| What are the paper’s main strengths?  (Write one thing (at least!) that the author  has done well. Be kind and encouraging!) | This article is writing in plain English and my reading is very smooth. The article gives three main aspects of rising of short video and either of them has logical and convincing argument and strongly relates to what he wants to express. The expression of writer are abundant, indicating writer’s wide vocabulary. |
| How can the essay be further improved?  (Make at least one specific suggestion that you think will assist the author to improve the paper.) | The citation should conform to APA style. And the indent of paragraph should be corrected. |
| Please add any other suggestions or comments that you feel would be useful for the author: | Although the article show the advantages of short video, I prefer to see more direct comparison between short video and other media form. Actually, some advantages of short form video are not so unique. |
|  |  |